arXiv:math/0009043v1 [math.AG] 5 Sep 2000

CANONICAL ABELIANIZATION OF FINITE GROUP ACTIONS

VICTOR V. BATYREV

Mathematisches Institut, Universität Tübingen
Auf der Morgenstelle 10, 72076 Tübingen, Germany
e-mail: batyrev@bastau.mathematik.uni-tuebingen.de

Abstract

In these notes we present a corrected version of the algorithm for abelianization of stabilizers of finite group actions on smooth manifolds using equivariant blow ups (see [1] §5)

Let G be a finite group, V a smooth n-dimensional algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$ having a regular effective action of G. If $x \in V$ is an arbitrary point, then by $St_G(x)$ we denote the stabilizer of x in G. For any subset $M \in G$ we define $V^M := \{x \in V \,:\, gx = x \;\; \forall g \in M\}$.

Definition 1 Let $D = \sum_{i=1}^m d_i D_i \in \mathrm{Div}(V)^G \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ an effective G-invariant $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on a G-manifold V. A pair (V, D) will be called G-**normal** if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) *Supp* D is a union of normal crossing divisors $D_1, \ldots, D_m$;

(ii) for any irredicible component D_i of D and for any point $x \in D_i$ the divisor D_i is $St_G(x)$-invariant, i.e., $g(D_i) = D_i, \;\forall\, g \in St_G(x)$ (however, the $St_G(x)$-action on D_i itself may be nontrivial).

Theorem 2 *Let (V, D) be a G-normal pair. Then there exists a canonically determined sequence of smooth G-manifolds $V_0 := V, V_1, \ldots, V_k = V^{ab}$ and birational G-euivariant morphisms $\varphi_i : V_i \to V_{i-1}$ such that each φ_i is a blow up of V_{i-1} at a G-invariant submanifold and the birational morphism $\psi := \varphi_k \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_1 : V^{ab} \to V$ has the following properties:*

(i) *Let $D^{ab} = (K_{V^{ab}} - \psi^* K_V) + \psi^{-1}D$, where $\psi^{-1}D$ is a proper pullback of D with respect to the birational morphism ψ, then (V^{ab}, D^{ab}) is a G-normal pair;*

(ii) *for any point $x \in V^{ab}$ the stabilizer $St_G(x)$ is an abelian subgroup in G.*

Proof. First of all we remark that from the algorithm presented below it follows that for each G-equivariant blow up $\varphi_i : V_i \to V_{i-1}$ the divisor $D_i := (K_{V_i} - \varphi^* K_{V_i}) + \varphi_i^{-1} D_{i-1}$ satisfies both conditions (i),(ii) in 1 if (V_{i-1}, D_{i-1}) is a G-normal pair. Since in 1 only $Supp\, D$ is important, the property 2(i) will hold authomatically. Thus, our main goal will be to get 2(ii).

Let $Z(V,G) \subset V$ be the set of all points $x \in V$ such that $St_G(x)$ is not abelian. If $Z(V,G)$ is empty, then we are done. Assume that $Z(V,G) \neq \emptyset$. We set

$$s(V,G) := \max_{x \in Z(V,G)} |St_G(x)|.$$

Consider a Zariski closed subset

$$Z_{\max}(V,G) := \{x \in Z(V,G) \ : \ |St_G(x)| = s(V,G)\} \subset Z(V,G).$$

We claim that the set $Z_{\max}(V,G) \subset V$ is a smooth G-invariant subvariety of codimension at least 2. By definition, $Z_{\max}(V,G)$ is a union of smooth subvarieties

$$F(H) := \{x \in V \ : \ gx = x \ \ \forall g \in H\},$$

where H runs over all nonabelian subgroups of G such that $|H| = s(V,G)$. This implies that $Z_{\max}(V,G)$ is G-invariant. Since the G-action is effective and $dim\, F(H) = n-1$ is possible only for cyclic subgroups $H \subset G$. So we obtain $dim\, Z_{\max}(V,G) \leq n-2$. It remains to observe that any two subvarieties $F(H_1), F(H_2) \subset V$ must either coincide, or have empty intersection. Indeed, if $x \in F(H_1) \cap F(H_2)$, then $H_1, H_2 \subset St_G(x)$. From maximality of $|H_1|$ and $|H_2|$ it follows that $H_1 = H_2 = St_G(x)$; i.e., $F(H_1) = F(H_2)$.

Denote by $\{Z_1, \dots, Z_k\}$ the set of all distinct connected components of $Z_{\max}(V,G)$. By definition, of $Z_{\max}(V,G)$, we have $St_G(x) = St_G(x')$ for any two points $x, x' \in Z_i$. Thus we obtain a sequence of maximal nonabelian stabilizers $H_1, \dots, H_k \subset G$: $H_i = St_G(x)$ $(x \in Z_i)$. We admit that H_i may coincide with H_j for $i \neq j$. Let us consider the linear representation $\rho_i : H_i \to GL(n, \mathbb{C}) = GL(TV_x)$, where TV_x the tangent space of V at x. It follows from the connectedness of Z_i that ρ_i does not depend on the choice of $x \in Z_i$. We write ρ_i as a direct sum of irreducible representations

$$\rho_i = \chi_1^{(i)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \chi_{r_i}^{(i)} \oplus \tau_1^{(i)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \tau_{s_i}^{(i)},$$

where $\chi_1^{(i)}, \dots, \chi_{r_i}^{(i)}$ are characters (representations of degree 1) and each irreducible representation $\tau_j^{(i)}$ $(j = 1, \dots, s_i)$ has degree ≥ 2. Denote by $\overline{H_i} \subset H$ the common kernel of the characters $\chi_1^{(i)}, \dots, \chi_{r_i}^{(i)}$ (we set $\overline{H_i} = H_i$ if $r_i = 0$).

Now we define $C(V,G)$ to be the union of those connected components $Z_i \subset Z_{\max}(V,G)$ such that $\overline{H_i} = H_i$ (the latter holds if all characters $\chi_1^{(i)}, \dots, \chi_{r_i}^{(i)}$ are trivial or if $r_i = 0$). It follows from the above properties of $Z_{\max}(V,G)$ that $C(V,G)$ is G-invariant, smooth and has codimension at least 2.

We distinguish the following cases:

Case 1: $C(V,G) \neq \emptyset$. Then we set V_1 to be the G-equivariant blow-up of V_0 with center $C(V,G)$. Denote by $\varphi_1 : V_1 \to V_0$ the corresponding projective birational G-morphism. It is obvious that the support of $D_1 := K_{V_1} - \varphi_1^* K_V + \varphi_1^{-1} D$ is a normal crossing divisor. If E is a connected component of the φ_1-exceptional divisor and

$x \in E$ is its arbitrary point, then $St_G(x) \subset St_G(\varphi_1(x))$. Since $\varphi(E) = Z_i$ is a connected component of $C(V, G)$, the stabilizer of each point $\varphi_1(x) \in Z_i$ is equal to H_i, and the divisor E must be $St_G(x)$-invariant. Hence, we conclude that (V_1, D_1) is a G-normal pair. In the our situation $St_G(x) \neq St_G(\varphi_1(x))$, because the H_i-action in the normal space to Z_i at $\varphi_1(x)$ has no 1-dimensional H_i-invariant subspaces ($r_i = \dim Z_i$). So $|St_G(x)| < |St_G(\varphi(x))|$. From our construction it follows that either $s(V_1, G) < s(V_0, G)$, or the number of connected components of $Z_{\max}(V_1, G)$ is less than the number of connected components of $Z_{\max}(V_0, G)$. Using induction, we eventually come to the second case:

Case 2: $C(V, G) = \emptyset$. This means that $\overline{H_i} \neq H_i$ (in particular, $r_i > 0$) for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$. We remark that all subgroups $\overline{H_i}$ are nontrivial, since all subgroups H_i are nonabelian. For every $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ we define W_i to be the connected component of $V^{\overline{H_i}}$ containing Z_i.

Lemma 3 *One has* $\dim W_i = r_i$. *In particular, the codimension of each* W_i *is equal to* $\sum_{l=1}^{s_i} \deg \tau_l \geq 2$.

Proof of Lemma. Take an arbitrary point $x \in Z_i$ and write $TV_x = TV_x' \oplus TV_x''$, where $\chi_1^{(i)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \chi_{r_i}^{(i)}$ is the H_i-action on TV_x' and $\tau_1^{(i)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \tau_{s_i}^{(i)}$ the H_i-action on TV_x''. By definition of $\overline{H_i}$, the group $\overline{H_i}$ acts trivially on TV_x'. Since $\dim TV_x' = r_i$ it is enough to show that $TV_x' = (TV_x)^{\overline{H_i}}$. Assume that $(TV_x)^{H_i'}$ is larger than TV_x'. Since TV_x'' is a H_i-invariant complement of TV_x' in TV_x, there exists a nonzero vector $v \in TV_x''$ such that $\overline{H_i} v = v$. Since $H_i / \overline{H_i}$ is abelian, the linear span of Hv must split into 1-dimensional irreducible representations of H_i. But all $\tau_1^{(i)}, \ldots, \tau_{s_i}^{(i)}$ have degree ≥ 2. Contradiction. $\square$

Let $W(V, G)$ be the union $W_1 \cup \cdots \cup W_k$. It is clear that $W(V, G)$ is G-invariant. We remark that $W_1, \ldots, W_k$ may not be necessary pairwise different.

Case 2a: $W(V, G)$ is smooth. Then we set V_1 to be the G-equivariant blow-up of V_0 with center $W(V, G)$. Using the same arguments as in Case 1, we conclude that $s(V_1, G) < s(V_0, G)$, because the H_i-action in the normal space to W_i at $x \in Z_i$ has no 1-dimensional H_i-invariant subspaces. This allows to use the induction on $s(V, G)$.

Case 2b: $W(V, G)$ is not smooth. Then we must first resolve singularities of $W(V, G)$. Denote by $k(x)$ the number of irreducible components of $W(V, G)$ containing $x \in W(V, G)$. Let $m(V, G) := \max_{x \in W(V,G)} k(x)$. We set

$$B(V, G) := \{x \in W(V, G) \ : \ k(x) = m(V, G)\} \subset W(V, G).$$

It is clear that $B(V, G)$ is G-invariant and smooth. Now we set V_1 to be the G-equivariant blow-up of V_0 with the center $B(V, G)$.

We claim that $m(V_1, G) < m(V, G)$. This would follow immediately from the fact that all irreducible components of $W(V_1, G)$ are exactly the proper birational transforms of the irreducible components of $W(V, G)$.

Assume that $W(V_1, G)$ contains an irreducible component W'_j which is the connected component of $V_1^{\overline{H'_j}}$ containing a connected component $Z'_j \subset Z_{\max}(V_1, G)$, where $H'_j = St_G(x)$, $x \in Z'_j$. We necessary have $|H'_j| = s(V_1, G) = s(V, G)$. Then $\varphi_1(Z'_j)$ must conside with a connected component $Z_i \subset Z_{\max}(V, G)$ and $H'_j = H_i$. Let E_i be the φ_1-exceptional divisor over Z_i, W_i the connected component of $V^{\overline{H'_i}}$ containing Z_i. Then $B_i := \varphi_1(E_i)$ a connected component of $B(V, G)$. We have $Z_i \subset B_i \subset W_i$ and $B_i \neq W_i$. It remains to observe that Z'_j is contained in the proper birational transform of W_i. The latter follows immediately from the decomposition of the linear representations of H_i and $\overline{H_i}$ in the normal space to B_i at any point $x \in Z_i$ (see the arguments in the proof of Lemma).

Using the induction on $m(V, G)$ we eventually come from Case 2b to Case2a.

□

I want to express my gratitude to Lev Borisov who explained me an error in the abelianization algorithm presented in [1] §5.

References

[1] V.V. Batyrev, *Non-Archimedean integrals and stringy Euler numbers of log-terminal pairs*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **1** (1999), no. 1, 5–33.